

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/546,093	04/10/2000	David S. Wehrle	00AB108	2591	
7590 02/26/2004			EXAMINER		
John J Horn Allen Bradley Company Inc Patent Dept 704 P Floor 8 T 29 1201 South Second Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			BAROT, BHARAT		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	2004 12	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PR		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,		
Advisory Action	09/546,093	WEHRLE ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bharat N Barot	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli I) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a ation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the dan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. So 136(a) and the appropriate the fee. The appropriate extention or (ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	•	forth in		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	131	Lesat Ba	so t.		
	8	HARAT BAROT MARY EXAMINER			
		(703)305-4092			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments toward final rejection are not persuasive and final rejection stands.

^